

1 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)
MJacobs@mofo.com
2 ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490)
AGonzalez@mofo.com
3 ERIC A. TATE (CA SBN 178719)
ETate@mofo.com
4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
Telephone: 415.268.7000
6 Facsimile: 415.268.7522

7 KAREN L. DUNN (*Pro Hac Vice*)
kdunn@bsflp.com
8 HAMISH P.M. HUME (*Pro Hac Vice*)
hhume@bsflp.com
9 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.
10 Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: 202.237.2727
11 Facsimile: 202.237.6131

12 *Counsel for Defendants*
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
13 and OTTOMOTTO LLC

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 WAYMO LLC,
18 Plaintiff,
19 v.
20 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
21 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,
22 Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**DECLARATION OF WENDY J. RAY
IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S
AND OTTOMOTTO, LLC'S
MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES
TO INTERROGATORIES**

Judge: Hon. Jacqueline Scott Corley
Trial Date: October 10, 2017

1 I, Wendy J. Ray, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a partner with the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP, counsel of record for
3 Defendants Uber Technologies Inc. and Ottomotto LLC in this matter. I am a member in good
4 standing of the Bar of the State of California. I make this declaration in support of Uber's and
5 Ottomotto's motion to compel Waymo to respond to Interrogatory Nos. 26 and 27, and for an
6 order that Waymo cannot object to Interrogatory Nos. 28 through 50 on the ground that Uber and
7 Ottomotto exceeded the permissible number of interrogatories. I make this declaration based on
8 personal knowledge and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the
9 matters set forth herein.

10 2. I attended a meet-and-confer call with the Special Master and counsel for Waymo
11 on June 22, 2017. Maxwell Pritt, who is also counsel of record for Uber and Ottomotto, attended
12 the call as well. During the meet-and-confer call, the Special Master instructed the parties that
13 under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33, each party could serve 25 interrogatories on another
14 party. The Special Master explained his conclusion meant Waymo could serve 25 interrogatories
15 on each of Uber, Ottomotto, and Otto Trucking, and each defendant could serve 25 interrogatories
16 on Waymo.

17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is
18 true and correct. Executed this 8th day of August, 2017, in Los Angeles, California.

19
20 /s/ Wendy J. Ray

Wendy J. Ray

21
22 **ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE**

23 I, Karen L. Dunn, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this
24 Declaration. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Wendy J. Ray has
25 concurred in this filing.

26
27 /s/ Karen L. Dunn

28 Karen L. Dunn